

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The present Response addresses the Communication of May 23, 2005, noting the Amendment filed December 23, 2004 was non-compliant in the amendments to Claims 4 and 7. Specifically, that Communication indicated that in Claims 4 and 7 the word "said" that contained a strikethrough did not exist in the previous version of the claims, and the word "an" and the limitation "characterizing an instruction... function" which were underlined already existed in the previous version of the claims.

In response to that Communication the same amendments as in the Amendment filed December 23, 2004 are submitted except that in claims 4 and 7, line 6, the strikethrough word "said" is deleted and the next word "an" is no longer underlined. Further, the phrase "characterizing an instruction code in intrinsics function" is now clarified to not underline the previously existing terms.

The present Response resubmitting the claim section is believed to address the issues in the Communication of May 23, 2005. Thus, in view of the Amendment filed December 23, 2004 and the present Response the present application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and an early and favorable consideration of the present application is hereby respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Eckhard H. Kuesters
Registration No. 28,870
Surinder Sachar
Registration No. 34,423
Attorneys of Record

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 06/04)
SNS:smi

I:\ATTY\SNS\21\S\211316\211316US-AM.DOC